

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,496	38,496 08/27/2001		Hideo Miyake	1614.1181	2883
. 21171	7590	02/28/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS &	HALSE	Y LLP	HUYNH, KIM T		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2112	
				DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/938,496	MIYAKE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kim T. Huynh	2112
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fee</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Entertain in the practice of the condition is in condition.</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-13,15 and 16 is/are pending in the same state of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-13,15 and 16 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject.	wn from consideration.	i,
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 8/27/01 is/are: a) ☑ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	· (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miyake et al. (Pub No US 20010004757).

As per claims 1, 9, Miyake discloses a computer which processes an interrupt when an instruction in a program is executed, said computer comprising a data holding part which holds data at a time when said interrupt starts to occur [0019] said data holding part holding data for continuing an interrupted instruction. [0016-0024], [0064], ie the floating point store instruction execution unit detects an interrupt while executing the store instructions. The instruction execution unit executes an operation based on a value read out supplied by the program counter. This implies the instruction detects (hold data while interrupt) interrupt while execution and the program counter continuing to supply the instructions to the execution unit, then executes the supplied instruction which is equivalent to applicant's claimed as for holding data for continuing an interrupted instruction.

As per claims 2, 10, Miyake discloses wherein said data holding part includes a plurality of registers. [0013], [0169-170]

As per claims 3, 11, Miyake discloses computer further comprising flags each of said flags indicating whether said data is held in said register. [0316], [0252]

As per claims 4, 12, Miyake discloses computer further comprising a data storing part, wherein said data holding part holds said data to be stored in said data storing part at a time when said interrupt occurs while a store instruction is executed, said store instruction requesting that said data is stored in said data storing part. [0019]

As per claims 5, 13, Miyake discloses wherein said data holding part holds an instruction address of an instruction which causes said interrupt. [0014]

As per claims 7, 15, Miyake discloses wherein said data holding part holds an effective address of a load instruction or a store instruction when said interrupt occurs while said load instruction or said store instruction is executed. [0014]

As per claims 8,16, Miyake discloses wherein said data is used for recovery from said interrupt. (fig.13, 450, [0169-170]

## Response to Amendment

- 3. Applicant's amendment filed on 2/14/05 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- a. In response to applicant's argument that the combination of Matsuyama and Miyake do not teach or suggest holding data for continuing an interrupted instruction. Examiner respectfully disagrees. As Miyake notes at [0016-0024], [0064], discloses the

Art Unit: 2112

floating point store instruction execution unit detects an interrupt while executing the store instructions. The instruction execution unit executes an operation based on a value read out supplied by the program counter. This implies the instruction detects (hold data while interrupt) interrupt while execution and the program counter continuing to supply the instructions to the execution unit, then executes the supplied instruction which is equivalent to applicant's claimed as for holding data for continuing an interrupted instruction. Thus, the prior art teaches the invention as claimed and the amended claims do not distinguish over the prior art as applied.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,496 Page 5

Art Unit: 2112

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (571)272-3635 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9.00AM- 6:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached at (571)272-3632 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.Rinehart@uspto.gov].

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2100.

Kim Huynh

Feb. 23, 2005

TIM VO PRIMARY EXAMINER